

APPLICANT(S): VINITZKY, Gil  
SERIAL NO.: 10/028,938  
FILED: December 28, 2001  
Page 5

## REMARKS

The present response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of objection and/or rejection raised by the Examiner and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicant asserts that the present invention is new, non-obvious and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

## Status of the Claims

Claims 1 – 10 are pending. Claims 1 - 10 have been rejected. Claims 1, 4, and 8 have been amended. Claims 3, 7, and 10 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. No new matter has been added.

## CLAIM REJECTIONS

### 35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (a), as being anticipated by applicant's admission of prior art disclosed in paragraph [0003] of the present application.

Claims 3, 7, and 10 have been cancelled and therefore the rejection to these claims is now moot.

In a telephone conversation dated October 21, 2004 between Examiner Chuong D. Ngo and the Applicant's representative Guy Yonay, the Examiner has indicated that the claims would be allowable if claims 1, 4 and 8 would be amended to include the limitation of "first memory space and a separate second memory space". Accordingly, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 4, and 8 to include the limitation of "a first memory space and a separate second memory space".

In order for a reference to anticipate a claim under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (a), the reference must teach every element of the claim being rejected. Paragraph [0003] of the present

APPLICANT(S): VINITZKY, Gil  
SERIAL NO.: 10/028,938  
FILED: December 28, 2001  
Page 6

application does not disclose "a first memory space and a separate second memory space", as is recited in Applicant's independent claims 1, 4, and 8, as amended. Applicant therefore asserts that independent claims 1, 4, and 8, as amended, are not anticipated by paragraph [0003] of the present application.


Accordingly, Applicant respectfully asserts that amended independent claims 1, 4, and 8 are allowable. Dependent claims 2, 5, 6, and 9 depend, directly or indirectly, from independent claims 1, 4, and 8 and therefore include all the limitations of those claims. Therefore, Applicant respectfully asserts that dependent claims 2, 5, 6, and 9 are likewise allowable. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejections to the pending claims 1-2, 4-6 and 8-9.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the pending claims are deemed to be allowable. Their favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner have any question or comment as to the form, content or entry of this Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below. Similarly, if there are any further issues yet to be resolved to advance the prosecution of this application to issue, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned counsel.

Please charge any fees associated with this paper to deposit account No. 05-0649.

Respectfully submitted,



Guy Yonay  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 52,388

Dated: January 5, 2005

**Eitan, Pearl, Latzer & Cohen Zedek, LLP.**  
10 Rockefeller Plaza, Suite 1001  
New York, New York 10020  
Tel: (212) 632-3480  
Fax: (212) 632-3489